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FINDING DIAMONDS IN THE ROUGH

Diamonds are forever. Diamonds are a girl’s best friend. Find a diamond in the rough. The clichés that began as subliminal marketing messages have worked their way as almost lexicon into our daily lives. What is it about diamonds that is so special? Well for one, they are really shiny. But only after you pull them up out of a cauldron of a shaft where they are found deep beneath the earth and polish them. But as the substance of pure ultra-compressed carbon is so hard, it can only be cut with another diamond so it has industrial purposes as well. The truth is, beyond serving as drill tips, cutting mechanisms, or adorning those who wear them, their practical value is limited. Yet, everyone is looking for figurative diamonds in the rough all the time, whether they are spouses, that rare find of a classic car deeply undervalued, or a gem of a stock that is ripe to double or triple before anyone knows about it.

Diamonds are actually not as rare as you have been led to believe; in fact they are quite common here on earth. In space, believe it or not, there are white dwarf stars that have diamond cores. The biggest diamond known in the universe is believed to weigh 2.27 thousand trillion tons. That’s 10 billion trillion carats (a 1 followed by 34 zeros). Back on earth, De Beers just did a great job at controlling production to keep prices elevated over the years! 20% of diamonds are jewelry quality. The other 80% are for industrial use. The reality though, is that diamonds are difficult to mine. Miners face danger every day oftentimes for little or no wages - sometimes just for the hopes of a cut of a big find. Days are hot, long, grueling, and dangerous. The mines can reach temperatures of 140 degrees Fahrenheit.

FINDING SUPERIOR STOCKS

It is one thing to romanticize the stories of otherwise unaware, unassuming pedestrians ambling along and stumbling upon a million dollar diamond in the rough. It is another to develop a method to systematically identify, retrieve, cultivate, and monetize diamonds regularly. To do that consistently over decades in diamond mines is rare, but to do that over decades in the stock market, now that is almost mythical. Yet, a rare few managers actually do accomplish that. How to accomplish that typically requires a time-tested process.

If you are at all familiar with Navellier & Associates, you will know that the cornerstone to our approach is quantitative analysis applied to the stock market. We have the view that a systematic quantitative approach to selecting and investing in equities can produce alpha (return in excess of a

---

1 Please note: Jason Bodner does not currently hold a position in DeBeers. Navellier & Associates does not currently own a position in DeBeers for any client portfolios.
comparative benchmark) over time. We believe it is possible to “beat the market” over the long haul. Navellier employs a quantitative method for selecting stocks with superior fundamentals. We have different outlooks for different portfolios. While we are well known for growth, we have many different products for investors with different objectives. Of course we do look for stocks that exhibit powerfully growing sales and earnings. Yet we also manage a potent portfolio of dividend stocks, with a mind on income. We have portfolios of international stocks, small caps stocks, large cap stocks, ETFs, a bond business, and even gold. The point is, we apply our quantitative mindset on all of our investment products, and each has its own associated threshold of acceptable volatility.

Picking winning stocks can be achieved with consistency as many longstanding managers can prove. But what if you trust and invest your hard earned money with an investment manager who has superior stock picking skills, but she or he achieves her or his alpha with heavy volatility? That is to say, the road to achieving your investment objectives leaves you feeling like you just got off consecutive rides on the world’s most feared roller coaster. For many investors, the lifetime of an investment is more about the smoothness of the ride than being the biggest winner. Investors oftentimes want to know that the value of their portfolio fluctuates within an acceptable range of volatility.

Therefore, the money manager now needs to not only select stocks with explosive potential, but also needs to construct a portfolio of stocks that will exhibit an acceptably low amount of volatility along the way. Is this possible? And even if it is - what about those systematic events like the Brexit backlash or the fear driven markets in the wake of scares like Ebola (we jokingly referred to the Ebola scare as the Zombie Apocalypse)? Remember that?

VOLATILITY, STANDARD DEVIATION, AND VARIANCE IN REAL TERMS

Before we get to how we set out to accomplish this, perhaps it would be beneficial to get a primer on volatility and its associates. Aside from being a feared word uttered by the media with abandon recently, volatility is not always synonymous with “stocks going down.” Volatility is functionally the historical or expected ranges of price movement up or down, in an underlying asset. It is actually traded as an asset class by professional traders using listed and over-the-counter derivatives. “Vol” funds exist as do derivative trading desks at the largest investment banks in the world. Derivatives became a ‘dirty word’ in the wake of the 2008 financial collapse, when bank desks were thrust into the limelight for the role that they played. It is worth noting that according to the World Bank the global investments in securities involves substantial risk and has the potential for partial or complete loss of funds invested. This is not to be construed as an offer to buy or sell any financial instruments and should not be relied upon as the sole factor in an investment making decision. Please read important disclosures at the end of this report.
equity market cap is just over 61 trillion dollars. And as big as that number seems, the equity derivatives market dwarfs that many times over. In fact, the worldwide derivatives market (all asset classes) is estimated to be more than $1.2 quadrillion (that’s the first time I ever said quadrillion) according to Investopedia, but no one really knows.

First, I will give you some more technical concepts followed by an easier way to grasp them.

TECHNICAL: The classical way to think of volatility in finance is that it is the degree of variation of a trading price series over time as measured by the standard deviation of returns. Standard deviation is the square root of the variance - being the average of the squared differences from the mean. Got that?

UNDERSTANDABLE: Volatility is how fast a stock price falls or rises over a given set of days. It is measured by calculating the standard deviation of annualized returns (closing prices) over a given period of time. Stocks that have prices that move up and down rapidly have high volatility. Stocks that hardly move at all have low volatility. Let’s discuss standard deviation, which is represented by the Greek letter sigma (σ). The easiest way to explain σ is that it is a measure of how spread-out numbers (closing prices) are around their average. Variance can be thought of as the average distance of each price from its mean.

What does this all mean?

It may help to go through an example: let us suppose there are the following 5 people in a room. Someone wants to analyze their heights. We will find the mean, standard deviation, and the variance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height Inches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jerry 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marge 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luigi 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean is the average. This is simple enough to calculate:

\[
\frac{70+63+75+59+65}{5} = 66.4
\]
Now we can find the difference from the mean for each person:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Difference from Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jerry</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marge</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luigi</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luigi</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From here, to get the variance, we take each difference, square it, and then get the average of that result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Difference from Mean</th>
<th>Difference Squared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jerry</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marge</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luigi</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now we average to get the variance:

\[
\frac{12.96 + 11.56 + 73.96 + 54.76 + 1.96}{5} = 31.04
\]

The standard deviation is just the square root of the variance:

\[
\sqrt{31.04} = 5.5714
\]

Great! We got the standard deviation! It’s 5.57! What does that mean?! Well, it means we now have a standard to know what is normal. Now we can compare heights to a standard. So if our average height is 66.4 inches then one standard deviation away is plus 5.57 inches or minus 5.57 inches. Our upper boundary is 71.97 inches and our lower boundary is 60.83 inches. This is our normal range of height. So Al and Luigi fall outside our standard deviation or normal height range. Everyone else is comfortably inside the range.

**THE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION CURVE**

You may be saying right now, “You’re talking diamonds and heights of people, what on earth does this have to do with stocks?” If you can think back to days some of you may have hoped to forget, namely your statistics class, this may ring a bell. Enter the Normal Distribution curve - also known as the “bell shaped” curve. (Get my pun?)

The normal curve is often how a set of data returns distribute themselves around a mean or average. We tend to get this bulge at the center, which
represents our most commonly returned value. In the case of a stock, think of its daily returns for a year. If most days it does nothing then we would expect a big bulge near zero % return. If some days it’s up 2% and some days it’s down 2%, then at these points on the curve we would see some returns, but way less than the numerous returns around zero. And if eight days out of the year the stock is up or down 10+%, we would see very few returns on that part of the curve - also known as the tails. It looks like this:

Now the green part of that graph is 1 standard deviation or sigma. It means that 68.2% of all returns can be expected to be observed within that range of normal we talked about before. So if the room were now 500 people instead of 5, 68.2% of the people would be between 60.83 and 71.97 inches tall. If we go out another standard deviation to two-sigma (yellow part of the graph), 95.4% of the people would fall in the height range of 55.25 and 77.54 inches tall. We just added another 5.57 inches to the upper and lower boundaries defined earlier. 3 standard deviations or 3σ would account for 99.7% of all observances (the red part of the graph). This means that in our room of now 500 people, 99.7% or 498.5 people would fall within 3 standard deviations of height. We now add another 5.57 inches to the upper and lower boundary. So 99.7% of all people would be taller than 49.69 inches and shorter than 83.11. We may expect 1.5 people to fall outside that range. These results would be the tails.

If we think back to volatility of a stock, an easy way to think of volatility is like this: If a stock has an implied volatility of 20%, that means one year from
now, we should expect our standard deviation of that stock to be 20% higher, or 20% lower than where it is today. If we divide that by the square root of available trading days (most professionals use 252: 252=15.875) we can find out how much the market expects the stock to move up or down in a single trading day. In this example 20/15.875 = an expected move of +/- 1.26% per day.

**DIAMOND MINING IN INVESTING**

So we have determined that we believe we can identify diamonds in the rough. We think we can find stocks that have potential for powerful appreciation. But how much risk should we take mining for those diamonds? We don’t want to risk our proverbial lives trying to earn return. Excessive risk for small incremental return is not desirable in an investment portfolio.

We seek to identify potentially winning stocks with low volatility. We do this by scoring the Alpha/Standard Deviation. We defined Alpha as return above and beyond a benchmark, and we have effectively defined Standard Deviation functionally as volatility. By this we mean beta (systematic risk or risks common to the entire class of assets – let’s say *all stocks*) + residual variance (unsystematic risk or risk associated to the circumstances of one specific security – let’s say *one stock*) = standard deviation.

The main point here is this: **stocks that score high on this scale are stocks that we feel have the potential for a powerful move upward, but may be shielded from market volatility.** This whole paper could have been this one sentence; don’t kill me for that! What we are saying here is this: we believe we have identified a way to find “diamond” stocks without taking life threatening risks “mining” them.

**REAL WORLD EXAMPLES - BRINGING IT ALL TOGETHER**

What do these diamond stocks look like in these systematic events like Ebola? Recently Brexit was the most notable systematic risk event to the market. We have prepared a few examples from holdings on our Blue Chip Growth stocks list. What you will see in the following graphs are two plots on each graph. First we will see the prices for one year in blue candles. In green, however, we see the 20 day historical volatility figure annualized. Each of the following graphs was sourced from FactSet.

What these graphs show is that the way we select stocks, through heavy quantitative and fundamental screening, we are able to isolate diamonds with low volatility. Don’t let the spikes and troughs in green scare you. The values of volatility are what are important. Remember from above, for quick “back of the napkin” math, divide the volatility figure by about 16 to get a daily move in %. So for AWK, 14.23 vol. divided by -16 gives us a daily move of just under 0.90% per day.
The following stocks have an ultra-smooth chart with low volatility:

- AWK
- INGR
- DLR
- T
- CMS
- MO

Please note: Jason Bodner does not currently hold a position in AWK, DLR, CMS, INGR, T, or MO. Navellier & Associates does currently own positions in these stocks for client portfolios.
Investments in securities involves substantial risk and has the potential for partial or complete loss of funds invested. This is not to be construed as an offer to buy or sell any financial instruments and should not be relied upon as the sole factor in an investment making decision. Please read important disclosures at the end of this report.
Here are more powerful stocks that are more volatile, but still have “relatively smooth” one-year bar charts and reasonable volatility:

- NVDA
- CLX
- LMT

3 Please note: Jason Bodner does not currently hold a position in NVDA, CLX, or LMT. Navellier & Associates does currently own NVDA, CLX, or LMT for client portfolios.
Investments in securities involve substantial risk and has the potential for partial or complete loss of funds invested. This is not to be construed as an offer to buy or sell any financial instruments and should not be relied upon as the sole factor in an investment making decision. Please read important disclosures at the end of this report.
These stocks became too volatile and got sold:

- KR
- ALK

Please note: Jason Bodner currently holds a short position in KR. He does not own ALK. Navellier & Associates does not currently own KR for client portfolios. Navellier & Associates does currently own ALK for client portfolios.
The following stock, Teekay Corporation, failed both our quantitative and fundamental screening and would never have been bought. Note the bumpy ride and the massive volatility. The latest entry of “near lows” volatility was 65.86. If we divide that by 15.875, we get a nearly 4.15% daily move! The peak volatility of 350 indicated a daily move of 22.00%!

- TK

5 Please note: Jason Bodner does not currently hold a position in TK. Navellier & Associates does not currently own TK for client portfolios.
CONCLUSION

Navellier & Associates is obsessed with risk and finding ways to mitigate it. Through our proprietary process of quantitative and fundamental screening, we feel we can identify stocks with the potential for significant appreciation with low standard deviation. This means we believe we can find alpha with low volatility. This is particularly important in times where systematic risk comes along and rears its ugly head. A prime example of this was the Brexit temper tantrum the global financial markets had. The charts above show that despite the event being unexpected and nauseatingly volatile, our process stayed true to its purpose. We found stocks that exhibited alpha with low standard deviation. Now that you have a finer appreciation for diamonds and hopefully a better understanding of volatility and its components, you can appreciate what this all means. Not only is it difficult to find the diamond stocks in the rough. It is even more difficult to have them become polished gemstones. It is even more unusual to do this consistently over decades in the stock market. It is yet even more unusual to do this with such an obsessive eye towards avoiding excessive volatility and risk. When it comes to pulling diamonds out of the earth, it’s risky business. Finding the diamonds in the stock market can happen while taking a quantitative and fundamental approach to keeping treacherous volatility at bay. Investing in stocks always carries risk, but perhaps Confucius put it best when he said, “Better a diamond with a flaw than a pebble without.”

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

The preceding commentary is the opinion of Jason Bodner and Navellier & Associates, Inc. 

This is not a recommendation to buy or sell the securities mentioned in this article. Investors should consult their financial advisor prior to making any decision to buy or sell the above mentioned securities.

The holdings identified do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold, or recommended for advisory clients and it should not be assumed that investments in securities identified and described were or would be profitable. Performance results presented herein do not necessarily indicate future performance. Results presented include reinvestment of all dividends and other earnings. Investment in equity strategies involves substantial risk and has the potential for partial or complete loss of funds invested. Investment in fixed income components has the potential for the investment return and principal value of an investment to fluctuate so that an investor’s shares, when redeemed, may be worth less than their original cost. It should not be assumed that any securities recommendations made by Navellier & Associates, Inc. in the future will be profitable or equal the performance of securities mentioned in this report. For a list of recommendations made by Navellier & Associates, Inc. for the preceding twelve months, please contact Tim Hope at (775) 785-9415.

Dividend payments are not guaranteed. The amount of a dividend payment, if any, can vary over time and issuers may reduce dividends paid on securities in the event of a recession or adverse event affecting a specific industry or issuer.
This report is for informational purposes and is not to be construed as an offer to buy or sell any financial instruments and should not be relied upon as the sole factor in an investment making decision. The views and opinions expressed are those of Navellier at the time of publication and are subject to change. There is no guarantee that these views will come to pass. As with all investments there are associated inherent risks. Please obtain and review all financial material carefully before investing. Although the information in this communication is believed to be materially correct, no representation or warranty is given as to the accuracy of any of the information provided. Certain information included in this communication is based on information obtained from sources considered to be reliable. However, any projections or analysis provided to assist the recipient of this communication in evaluating the matters described herein may be based on subjective assessments and assumptions and may use one among alternative methodologies that produce different results. Accordingly, any projections or analysis should not be viewed as factual and should not be relied upon as an accurate prediction of future results. Furthermore, to the extent permitted by law, neither Navellier nor any of its affiliates, agents, or service providers assumes any liability or responsibility nor owes any duty of care for any consequences of any person acting or refraining to act in reliance on the information contained in this communication or for any decision based on it. Opinions, estimates, and forecasts may be changed without notice. The views and opinions expressed are provided for general information only.

While MLPs have attractive features, there are potential risks an investor should consider prior to investment in such securities: (1) Commodity Price Risk - MLPs can be subject to commodity price risk when there is a decline in exploration, transport, and processing of energy products related to volatile energy prices. (2) Correlation Risk – While MLPs have historically low correlation to other asset classes, there has been a measurable increase since the financial crisis of 2008. This pattern has been present in other times of severe equity market stress. (3) Limited Liquidity – While liquidity has improved with investment vehicles like mutual and closed end funds, the ability to buy and sell is still somewhat constrained when compared to traditional investments such as equities. (4) Tax liability for tax exempt investors. Other potential issues include changes in the regulatory climate for energy-related activities, tax law changes, supply disruptions, environmental accidents, and terrorism. Interest rate risk may increase the potential cost of financing projects and affect the demand for MLP investments; this translates into lower valuations.

Bond Risk Considerations: The return of principle in a bond fund is not guaranteed and here there is the potential for partial or complete loss of funds invested. In general, the bond market is volatile, and fixed income securities can carry interest rate risk, which is the risk that when interest rates rise, the values of debt securities, especially those with longer maturities, will fall. Fixed income securities also carry inflation risk, credit risk, and default risk for both issuers and counterparties. Inflation risk is the uncertainty over the future real value of an investment. Credit risk is the risk that the issuer of a security will fail to pay interest or principal in a timely manner, or that negative perceptions of the issuer’s ability to make such payment will cause the price of the security to decline. Default risk is the risk that the issuer will be unable to make the required payments on their debt obligations.

The S&P 500 Index consists of 500 stocks chosen for market size, liquidity and industry group representation. It is a market value weighted index with each stock’s weight in the index proportionate to its market value. The reported returns reflect a total return for each quarter inclusive of dividends. Presentation of index data does not reflect a belief by Navellier that any stock index constitutes an investment alternative to any Navellier equity strategy presented in these materials, or is necessarily comparable to such strategies and an investor cannot invest directly in an index. Among the most important differences between the indexes and Navellier strategies are that the Navellier equity strategies may (1) incur material management fees, (2) concentrate investments in relatively few ETFs, industries, or sectors, (3) have significantly greater trading activity and related costs, and (4) be significantly more or less volatile than the indexes. All indexes are unmanaged and performance of the indices includes reinvestment of dividends and interest income, unless otherwise noted, are not illustrative of any particular investment and an investment cannot be made in any index.
As a matter of normal and important disclosures to you, as a potential investor, please consider the following. The returns presented reflect hypothetical performance an investor would have obtained had it invested in the manner shown and does not represent returns that an investor actually attained. The back-tested performance was derived from the retroactive application of a model with the benefit of hindsight; this communication was not offered until after the performance period(s) depicted.

Hypothetical back-tested performance has many inherent limitations. As a matter of important disclosure regarding the hypothetical results presented in the accompanying charts and graphs, the following factors must be considered when evaluating the performance figures presented:

1) Historical or illustrated results presented herein do not necessarily indicate future performance; Investment in securities involves significant risk and has the potential for partial or complete loss of funds invested.

2) The results presented were generated during a period of mixed (improving and deteriorating) economic conditions in the U.S. and positive and negative market performance. There can be no assurance that the favorable market conditions will occur again in the future. Navellier has no data regarding actual performance in different economic or market cycles or conditions.

3) The results portrayed reflect the reinvestment of dividends and other income. The pure gross results portrayed do not include any investment advisory fees, administrative fees, or transaction expenses, or other expenses that a client would have paid or actually paid. The fees reflected in the net performance figures in this presentation may not include administrative fees, or transaction expenses, or other expenses that a client would have paid or actually paid. The fees may also vary depending on the account size and estimated trading costs will be greater for smaller accounts.

FactSet Disclosure: Navellier does not independently calculate the statistical information included in the attached report. The calculation and the information are provided by FactSet, a company not related to Navellier. Although information contained in the report has been obtained from FactSet and is based on sources Navellier believes to be reliable, Navellier does not guarantee its accuracy, and it may be incomplete or condensed. The report and the related FactSet sourced information are provided on an “as is” basis. The user assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Investors should consider the report as only a single factor in making their investment decision. The report is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of a security. FactSet sourced information is the exclusive property of FactSet. Without prior written permission of FactSet, this information may not be reproduced, disseminated or used to create any financial products. All indices are unmanaged and performance of the indices include reinvestment of dividends and interest income, unless otherwise noted, are not illustrative of any particular investment and an investment cannot be made in any index. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

The views and opinions expressed do not constitute specific tax, legal, or investment or financial advice to, or recommendations for, any person, and the material is not intended to provide financial or investment advice and does not take into account the particular financial circumstances of individual investors. Before investing in any investment product, investors should consult their financial or tax advisor, accountant, or attorney with regard to their specific situation.

Please note that Navellier & Associates and the Navellier Private Client Group are managed completely independent of the newsletters owned and published by InvestorPlace Media, LLC and written and edited by Louis Navellier, and investment performance of the newsletters should in no way be considered indicative of potential future investment performance for any Navellier & Associates product.